

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/860,844	09/29/1997	SUSAN WEININGER	GP-100C1	9470
75	90 04/18/2003			
DAVID R SALIWANCHIK			EXAMINER	
2421 NW 41ST STREET SUITE A1			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
GAINESVILLE	E, FL 326066669	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

474	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/860,844	WEININGER ET AL.			
Advisory Action (Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ardin Marschel	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) $igtieq$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>29 and 56-58</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28,49,52-55 and 59-61</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

ூontiguation Sheet (PTO-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues are proposed in the amendment regarding the claim 63 comparison of binding affinity for moc vs. unmodified units. New issues are also proposed via the claim 64 (order and location of target sequences) and claim 65 (combined affinity of a plurality of recognition units). These new issues would required further consideration and/or search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons of record due to the above noted non-entry of the proposed amendment. Thus, the rejected claims remain rejected as before as applicants have not argued beyond the above non-entered limitations.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL